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Abstract — Differences of physiological properties of the glottis and the vocal tract are partly due to age and/or gender differences. Since these differences are reflected in the speech signal, acoustic measures related to those properties can be helpful for automatic gender classification. Acoustics measures of voice sources were extracted from 10 utterances spoken by 20 male and 20 female talkers (aged 19 to 25 year old). The difference of speech long term features, including zero crossing rate, short time energy, and spectrum flux between male and female is studied. The result shows that the estimation of short time energy reflects more effectively, the difference in male and female voice than zero crossing rate and spectrum flux.

Index Terms — gender classification gender identification, voice source.

I. INTRODUCTION

Gender-based differences in human speech are due to physiological differences such as vocal fold thickness or vocal tract length, and differences in speaking style. Physiological properties of the glottis and the vocal tract change with age and gender. Since these changes are reflected in the speech signal, acoustic measures related to those properties can be helpful for gender classification.

One of the most important factors which cause an increase in an intelligent agent’s decision performance is to possess information about related pattern or subject, as much as possible. Value and usefulness of information, depends on its discrimination ability between critical states of decision making. Supplementary knowledge with more discrimination ability between critical states of decision-making leads to more increase in performance of agent’s decision. Sex is among the most important information that discriminate between diverse speakers. As a practical example, if a speaker recognizer agent could recognize speaker sex, it can both restrict its search between enrolled speakers to decrease decision time and increase its recognition performance. In another application, agent may offer better suggestion to a remote customer in order to buy a company’s new product (such as new titles of a publisher) by using its knowledge about his sex. Due to above reasons, speaker sex identification research have recently gained much attention in the field of automatic speech processing.

II. PREVIOUS WORK

GMM and neural networks were trained for age interval and sex identifications using speech short term features[1]. Homayounpour and Khosravi make an effort on identifying speaker age interval using SVM model and MFCC and LPCC features[2]. Speaker age interval and sex identification were done using GMMs[3],[4]. It is well known that F0 values for male talkers drop during adolescence due to a lengthening and thickening of the vocal folds. F0 for adult males is typically around 120 Hz, while F0 for adult females is around 200 Hz. This effect is mostly due to a lengthening and thickening of the male vocal folds[5]. It is also well known that, due to vocal tract length differences, adult males exhibit lower formant frequencies than adult females[5]. Interestingly, for preadolescent children, studies also found lower formant frequencies for boys compared to girls of ages 5-6 [6], 7-8 years [7], and ages 5, 7, 9, and 11 years (for Australian English) [8]. These findings imply that, overall, boys have larger vocal tracts than girls. Statistical analysis of children speech confirmed that formant frequencies (F1, F2, F3), and not F0, differentiate gender for children as young as 4 years of age, while formant frequencies plus F0 differentiate gender after 12 years of age[9]. These findings lead to the conclusion that for preadolescent children, vocal tract measures play a bigger role for gender classification than the voice source measure F0. For adult speech, automatic gender classification has been presented, which used linear predictive coding (LPC)-derived measures that represent the vocal tract[10].

Other measures like F0, formant frequencies, and spectral envelope are presented as a function of age for talkers from 5 to 50 years old. For F0, the study showed a drop between ages 12 and 15 for males and a drop of F0 variation for all talkers between ages 5 and 15. Formant frequencies (F1, F2, F3) decreased between ages 10 and 15, where formant frequencies of male talkers decreased faster and reached much lower absolute values than those of female talkers. The study showed that children younger than age 10 displayed greater spectral variability than adults[11]. We analyzed age, sex, and vowel dependencies, for talkers between the ages of 8 and 39, of the following three voice source measures: F0[12]; H+1 − H+2 . the difference of the first two source spectral harmonic magnitudes (related to the open quotient1 [13]); and H+1 − A+3, the difference of the first source spectral harmonic magnitude and the magnitude of the source spectrum at the frequency location of the third formant (related to source spectral tilt [13]). The asterisk indicates a correction for the influence of vocal tract resonances [14]. For male talkers, the results showed a drop of about 5 dB in H+1 − H+2 around age 15 and
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In this paper, acoustics measures of voice sources were extracted from 10 utterances spoken by 20 male and 20 female talkers (aged 19 to 25 year old). The difference of speech long term features, including zero crossing rate, short time energy, and spectrum flux between male and female is studied.

### III. SPEECH DATA BASE FORMULATON

Speech recording from age group, 19-25 were taken. Each recording was of the form “Now this time you go”, where the target vowel ‘V’ was /th/. This utterance where spoke at the habitual speaking level and most talkers repeated the phrases 10 times. For the analysis, only the manually segmented target vowels where used.

### IV. METHODOLOGY

The target vowel was manually segmented using GOLDWAVE software and stored with .wav extension.

### V. EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS

#### A. RESULT USING ZERO CROSSING RATE

It indicates the frequency of signal amplitude sign change. To some extent, it indicates the average signal frequency as:

\[
ZCR = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |sgn \ x(n) - sgn \ x(n-1)|}{2N}
\]

Where \(sgn[]\) is a signum function and \(x(m)\) is the discrete audio signal.

In mathematical terms, a "zero-crossing" is a point where the sign of a function changes (e.g. from positive to negative), represented by a crossing of the axis (zero value) in the graph of the function. The zero-crossing is important for systems which send digital data over AC circuits, such as modems, X10 home automation control systems, and Digital Command Control type systems for Lionel and other AC model trains. Counting zero-crossings is also a method used in speech processing to estimate the fundamental frequency of speech.

Zero crossing rate is important because they abstract valuable information about the speech and they are simple to compute.

### TABLE I

AVERAGE ZERO CROSSING RATE OF MALE AND FEMALE VOICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frames</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. RESULT USING SHORT TIME ENERGY

The short time energy measurement of a speech signal can be used to determine voiced vs. unvoiced speech. Short time energy can also be used to detect the transition from unvoiced to voiced speech and vice versa. The energy of voiced speech is much greater than the energy of unvoiced speech.

We record the i/p signal at \(fs=8\)KHz. Now using Hamming window with the following specifications : Window size=256 samples, Window step=100 samples, Window overlap=156 samples and number of frames = (length of i/p – window size )/(window step), we calculate the STE for each frame using the following formula.

\[
E = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} |x(n)|^2 /
\]

### TABLE II

SHORT TIME ENERGY OF MALE AND FEMALE VOICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frames</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### C. RESULT USING SPECTRUM FLUX

Spectral flux is a measure of how quickly the power spectrum of a signal is changing, calculated by comparing the power spectrum for one frame against the power spectrum from the previous frame. More precisely, it is usually calculated as the 2-norm (also known as the Euclidean distance) between the two normalized spectra. Calculated this...
way, the spectral flux is not dependent upon overall power (since the spectra are normalized), nor on phase considerations (since only the magnitudes are compared). If there is a transient or a sudden attack, the change in energy will be denoted by a jump in the difference of energy between consequent frames. It is important to note that after taking the difference value, a threshold value should be set only for a positive difference value.

We record the i/p signal at fs=8KHz. Now using Hamming window with the following specifications: Window size=256 samples, Window step=100 samples, Window overlap=156 samples and number of frames = (length of i/p – window size )/(window step), we calculate the STE for each frame using the following formula.

\[
SF = \frac{1}{(N-1)(K-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} [(\log A(n,k) - \log A(n-1,k))^2]
\]

Where \( A(n,k) \) is the discrete Fourier transform of the nth frame of input signal.

\[
A(n,k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x(m) w(nL-m)e^{-j2\pi km/L}
\]

Where L is the window length, k is the order of DFT, N is the total number of frames.

![Figure 3. Spectrum flux for male and female voice](image)

Figure 3 displays the spectrum flux (SF) of male and female. It shows that SF for male are much higher than female.

In this paper, we examined the role of voice source measure in gender identification and compared the results to perceptual experiments performed on the same database. Voice source measures were extracted from a large database of utterance spoken by 20 males and 20 females.

We used three different parameters in the analysis. From the experiments, we could observe evident results for zero crossing rate, short time energy, and spectrum flux. Zero crossing rate, and short time energy of female are larger than those of male. Zero crossing rate of female are little larger than those of male whereas short time energy of female are much larger than those of male. Spectrum fluxes of male are larger than those of female.

The result shows that the estimation of short time energy reflects more effectively the difference in male and female voice than zero crossing rate and spectrum flux.

These coefficients contain useful information about speakers gender classification and employing them in such a process lead to decrease in gender identification error rate.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL.NO</th>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>MALE</th>
<th>FEMALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Zero crossing rate</td>
<td>LESS</td>
<td>GREATER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Short time energy</td>
<td>&lt;= 0.1</td>
<td>&gt;= 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Spectrum flux</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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