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Abstract—This paper presents a compact zigzag inverted-F antenna with matching network for Wi-Fi operation in portable devices. The antenna occupies a very small area of $8 \times 18$ mm$^2$ and provides high gain of 6.91 dBi with the peak return loss of -49.75 dB, VSWR of 1.006 and input impedance of 50.2045 $\Omega$ at 5.5 GHz. This antenna covers a 10 dB return loss bandwidth of 609 MHz (5250 MHz--5859 MHz). In addition an impedance matching pie filter is used to ensure impedance matching between the source and the antenna. As well, the antenna has acceptable radiation characteristics.

Index Terms—Inverted-F antenna (IFA), Compact Antenna, Matching Network (MN), Zigzag IFA, Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR), Wi-Fi.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the swift growth in wireless communication system leads to several growing demands with the designing of various portable devices require small, low profile and multi-function antenna. In order to satisfy these demands, inverted-F antenna (IFA) has been widely used in portable devices due to its compact, low profile configuration, ease of fabrication and favorable electrical performance. The wireless fidelity (Wi-Fi) operates in the 2.4 GHz band (2.4–2.484 GHz) and 5 GHz band (5.15–5.35 GHz, 5.47–5.725 GHz, and 5.725–5.875 GHz) [1-3].

A T-shaped monopole antenna with dual shorted L-shaped strip-sleeves for dual operating band with bandwidth of 834 MHz (1962–2796 MHz) and 576 MHz (5328–5904 MHz) at lower and upper frequency bands respectively [2], a internal composite monopole antenna for WLAN/WiMAX operation in a laptop computer covers triple operating bandwidth of 347 MHz (2371–2718 MHz), 600 MHz (3192–3792 MHz) and 841 MHz (5051–5892 MHz) at lower, middle and upper frequency band respectively [3], a modified two-strip monopole antenna for Wi-Fi and WiMAX applications [4], internal wideband metal-plate antenna for laptop application covers a wider bandwidth of 3.9 GHz (2.1–6.0 GHz) [5], dual band flat plate antenna with shorted parasitic element for laptop applications with impedance bandwidth of 190 MHz (2330–2520 MHz, centered at 2.4 GHz) and 2140 MHz (4520–6660 MHz, centered at 5.5 GHz) for the 2.4 and 5.8 GHz WLAN band [6], internal PIFAs for UMTS/WLAN/WiMAX multi-Network operation for a USB dongle [7], uni-planer dual –band monopole antenna for 2.4/5 GHz WLAN operation in a laptop computer [8], axial wideband printed monopole antenna for WLAN/ WiMAX applications [9] respectively have been proposed.

To provide the increasing demand and cover up the widespread applications of 5 GHz band (5.15–5.35 GHz, 5.47–5.725 GHz, and 5.725–5.875 GHz) for Wi-Fi operation a low profile antenna with wider bandwidth, high gain and less gain variation within the antenna bandwidth is desired. To meet up most of the mentioned requirements, the proposed zigzag inverted-F antenna is one of the good candidates within the micro-strip printed antennas because of its compact size and good input impedance than other printed antennas.

In this article, we present a promising low profile antenna named zigzag inverted-F antenna (zigzag IFA) for 5.5 GHz Wi-Fi operations in a laptop computer.

II. ANTENNA GEOMETRY

In designing the low profile antenna for Wi-Fi operation in a laptop, we examine the possibility of increasing antenna bandwidth, gain and maintaining the input impedance near about 50 $\Omega$ by using impedance matching network throughout the application bands with simplifying its structure using method of moments (MoM’s) in Numerical Electromagnetic Code [10]. The cost of FR4 substrate is higher than the RT/duriod 5880. For the lower cost, in numerical analysis we considered the substrate permittivity of the antenna is $\varepsilon_r = 2.2$ (RT/duriod 5880) with substrate thickness of 1.58 mm. Our attempt was to reduce the size of the antenna with improved gain for the 5.5 GHz Wi-Fi operation in a laptop computer.

The antenna is assumed to be feed by a 50 $\Omega$ coaxial cable, with its central conductor connected to the feeding point and its outer conductor connected to the ground plane. For impedance matching, we use pie type matching network. Fig. 1 (a) and 2 (b) show the inverted -F antenna3-D and 2-D view (structure 1).
Fig. 2 (a) and (b) show the structure of zigzag IFA 3-D and 2-D view (structure 2) (proposed). Here one leg of zigzag IFA directly connected to the feeding and another leg spaced $s$ from the ground plane. In the analysis, the dimensions of the ground plane considered as 60 mm $\times$ 60 mm. Fig. 3 (a) and (b) represent the modified zigzag inverted-F antenna 3-D and 2-D view (structure 3).

Fig. 1. Inverted-F antenna (Structure 1) (a) 3-D and (b) 2-D view

Fig. 2. Zigzag inverted-F antenna (Structure 2) (a) 3-D and (b) 2-D view (Proposed)

Fig. 3. Modified Zigzag inverted-F antenna (Structure 3) (a) 3-D and (b) 2-D view

Fig. 4. Variation of return loss (dB) for different antennas (structure) with frequency
Fig. 4 represents the return loss variation of different antennas. From this figure, the structure 2 shows the best performance. Fig. 5 (a) represents the variation of return loss for different values of \( h_1 \) of zigzag IFA. From the analysis on fig. 5 (a) for the optimized value of \( h_1 \), we see that when the value of \( h_1=4 \) mm, the antenna provides maximum return loss but gain reduces remarkably at the operating band as shown in Fig. 5 (b). The return loss and gain variation for different values of \( t \) are shown in Fig. 6 (a) and (b) respectively. For the value of \( t=4 \) mm, the antenna provides high return loss but gain is very low for the required band. Besides the values of \( t=2 \) mm and \( t=4 \) mm does not cover the required 5.5 GHz band. Besides when the value of \( t=3 \) mm, the antenna fully covers the 5.5 GHz band for Wi-Fi operation in a laptop computer.
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**Fig. 5. Variation of (a) return loss (dB) and (b) gain for different values of \( t \) of zigzag IFA**

Table I represents the optimized dimensions of the proposed antenna of Fig. 1. From table I, we see that the dimension of proposed antenna is 8×18 mm\(^2\). Fig. 7 represents the matching network for zigzag inverted-F antenna for impedance matching. We use pie type matching network for zigzag inverted-F antenna. From Fig. 4 we see that \( X_{S1} \) and \( X_{S2} \) are the parallel capacitor and \( X_\text{s} \) represent series inductor whose value given in the table II.

**Table I. Dimension of the Proposed Zigzag Inverted-F Antenna**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna name</th>
<th>Antenna parameters</th>
<th>Values (mm)</th>
<th>Dimension (mm(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zigzag IFA</td>
<td>( l )</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8×18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( t )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( l+t )</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( s )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II. MATCHING NETWORK PARAMETERS OF THE PROPOSED ZIGZAG INVERTED-F ANTENNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_{p1}$</td>
<td>0.25 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{p2}$</td>
<td>0 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_s$</td>
<td>2.02 nH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulated return losses of the proposed zigzag IFA with and without matching network (MN) are shown in Fig. 8. From the simulation results, the zigzag IFA has return loss bandwidth of 609 MHz (5250–5859 MHz) and 1150 MHz (5750–6900 MHz) with and without MN respectively. From the simulation result we see that the zigzag IFA without matching network covers a wider impedance bandwidth than the antenna with matching network. But the impedance bandwidth without matching network covers extremely little portion of the required operating band. On the other hand, with matching network the antenna covers almost all portions of the 5.5 GHz Wi-Fi operating band with the peak value of the return loss is -49.75 dB.
The gain variation of the zigzag IFA as a function of frequency is shown in Fig. 9. From the obtained results, antennas gain varies from 7.84 to 5.46 dBi at within the 10 dB return loss. The value of VSWR of zigzag IFA is 1.006 at 5.5 GHz. So, the obtained result indicates that the value of VSWR is very low and it is near to 1 as shown in Fig. 10. Fig. 11 represents the antenna input impedance variation. From the obtained results, the input impedance of zigzag IFA is 66.70006 Ω at 5.5 GHz without impedance matching network and the impedance away from 50 Ω. So impedance matching network is necessary. Using matching network between the source and the antenna, the input impedance of zigzag IFA is found to be 50.20454 Ω at 5.5 GHz and the impedance variation is very low within the operating band. So with impedance matching network the input impedance of the proposed antenna is near about 50 Ω.

Fig. 12 represents the antenna phase shift causes due to the impedance mismatch as a function of frequency. Also, from the simulation study, the antenna offers a phase shift of -50.68816° without matching network. Besides with matching network the phase shift is -0.29023°. With matching network the phase shift of zigzag IFA closer to 0° all over the antenna bandwidth. Fig. 13 represents the radiation patterns of the antenna at 5.5 GHz resonant frequency for total gain in E and H-plane. The antenna’s normalized total radiation in E and H-plane is almost omnidirectional at the 5.5 GHz Wi-Fi operating frequency.

Peak gain comparison with the proposed antenna and reference antennas for Wi-Fi application are listed in Table III. From the comparison table, proposed zigzag IFA has much higher gain than the antennas have been proposed earlier for 5.5 GHz Wi-Fi operation. In overall considerations, zigzag inverted-F antenna is much better than all other antennas.

Fig. 12. Phase (degree) variation of zigzag IFA with frequency

![Graph showing phase (degree) variation of zigzag IFA with frequency.](image)

Fig. 13. Normalized (a) Total gain pattern in E-plane, (b) Total gain pattern in H-plane, (c) Vertical gain pattern in E-plane (d) Horizontal gain pattern in E-plane of zigzag IFA at 5.5 GHz.
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IV. CONCLUSION

Optimized impedance matched zigzag IFA for Wi-Fi operation in portable devices is proposed using numerical simulations. The antenna occupies extremely small area with bandwidth of 609 MHz (5250–5859 MHz). Moreover the gain of the antenna is high and the gain variation is very low within the operating band. From the analysis, the gain, radiation pattern, return loss, input impedance, VSWR and phase shift of the antenna is suitable for the specified applications than the antennas proposed earlier. Due to the compact area occupied, the proposed antenna is promising to be embedded within portable devices.
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