

- To sort the distances in increasing order and select the k samples with the smallest distance values;

B. Support Vector Machine [21]

“The patterns x are embedded, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n S can be define as

$$S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \quad (4)$$

The dot product w . x is defined by

$$w \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \quad (5)$$

Using the linear classifier defined by the pair (w,b), the class of a pattern x_k is determined with

$$C(x_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \cdot x_k + b > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w \cdot x_k + b < 0 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Using lagrangian function for optimization and can be formulated into the following expression that represents a linear SVM:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i x_i \quad (7)$$

After training, we can calculate the class membership for new patterns, different from those used in training. The class of a pattern x_k is determined with

$$C(x_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \cdot x_k + b > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w \cdot x_k + b < 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The classification of new patterns define by the sign of the expression $w \cdot x_k + b$.

$$C(x_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \cdot x_k + b > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } w \cdot x_k + b < 0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The details of Support Vector Machine and OSH can be found in [21]”.

C. Combination of SVM and KNN

Our results show misclassification of class by SVM. Specifically, for class far from the separating hyper plane the SVM classifying algorithm is available, while for samples close to the hyper plane, the KNN Classifying algorithm is suitable. So we are combining both the classifier. Where x_i is support vector. The new classifying algorithm are as follows:

Step 1. If $T_{test} \neq \Phi$, get $x \in T_{test}$, If $T_{test} = \Phi$, Stop;

Step 2. Calculate $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i x_i - b$

Step 3. Compute $f(x) = \text{sgn}(g(x))$. give input to KNN algorithm.

else
Step 4. output =KNN(f(x))

Step 5. $T \leftarrow T - \{x\}$ go to step 1.
Here we using RBF kernel .Here we are giving the output of SVM as input to the KNN. The above algorithm is modified from[23].

V. EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSION

In our Experiment we used our dataset due to lack of availability of standard database in Devanagari script. We

extracted feature set of all the six dataset available with us. V_{HF} is optimized hybrid feature set.

TABLE 1
FEATURE VECTOR SIZE

| Datasets | Feature Vector size | | | |
|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | V_{ZN} | V_{SF} | V_{ZR} | V_{HF} |
| DS1 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 89 |
| DS2 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 89 |
| DS3 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 89 |
| DS4 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 89 |
| DS5 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 89 |
| DS6 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 89 |

TABLE 2
KNN CLASSIFIER RECOGNITION RATE IN %

| DATASET | FEATURE VECTOR | | | |
|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | V_{ZN} | V_{SF} | V_{ZR} | V_{HF} |
| DS1 | 96.0 | 93.67 | 95 | 97.87 |
| DS2 | 96.67 | 94.76 | 94 | 97.02 |
| DS3 | 96.56 | 94.45 | 94 | 97.58 |
| DS4 | 91.02 | 90.00 | 92 | 92.33 |
| DS5 | 91.00 | 89.00 | 90 | 90.88 |
| DS6 | 96.45 | 94.70 | 98 | 97.88 |

TABLE 3
SVM CLASSIFIER RECOGNITION RATE IN %

| DATASET | FEATURE VECTOR | | | |
|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | V_{ZN} | V_{SF} | V_{ZR} | V_{HF} |
| DS1 | 96.56 | 91.00 | 94.00 | 94.20 |
| DS2 | 96.00 | 94.76 | 96.00 | 94.75 |
| DS3 | 94.87 | 94.07 | 95.88 | 96.23 |
| DS4 | 84.78 | 83.01 | 84.00 | 84.77 |
| DS5 | 83.00 | 81.00 | 83.00 | 84.00 |
| DS6 | 96.34 | 95.55 | 97.00 | 97.88 |

TABLE 4
SVM KNN CLASSIFIER RECOGNITION RATE IN %

| DATASET | FEATURE VECTOR | | | |
|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | V_{ZN} | V_{SF} | V_{ZR} | V_{HF} |
| DS1 | 98.78 | 97.02 | 97.89 | 98.34 |
| DS2 | 98.67 | 96.60 | 96.78 | 98.23 |
| DS3 | 98.56 | 97.01 | 97.00 | 98.78 |
| DS4 | 92.08 | 91.00 | 92.23 | 92.75 |
| DS5 | 92.55 | 91.06 | 92.80 | 92.88 |
| DS6 | 98.78 | 97.86 | 98.45 | 98.88 |

From above table of result we observe that SVMKNN has high accuracy. We found that KNN has high complexity and classification time than SVM. But SVM can classify large data but Classification error is there around the hyper plane vector.SVMKNN algorithm work on large set of data here SVM efficiently uses the KNN algorithm features for High accuracy.



VI. CONCLUSION

A KKN and SVM individual give better result on numbers ,single characters means characters without modifiers, and with joint characters and character with modifiers the error rate is more and time for recognition is high. But SVMKNN algorithm gives better result on all type of Devanagari data sets.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.Y. Suen, M. Berthod, and S. Mori, "Automatic Recognition of Hand printed Characters – The State of The Art," Proc. IEEE, vol.68, no. 4, pp. 469-487, Apr. 1980.
- [2] J. Mantas, "An Overview of Character Recognition Methodologies," Pattern Recognition, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 425-430, 1986.
- [3] V.K. Govindan and A.P. Shivaprasad, "Character Recognition – AReview," Pattern Recognition, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 671-683, 1990.
- [4] S. Mori, C.Y. Suen, and K. Yamamoto,"Historical Review of OCRResearch and Development," Proc. IEEE, vol. 80, no. 7, pp. 1029-1058, Jul. 1992.
- [5] H. Bunke and P.S.P. Wang (eds.), Handbook of Character Recognition and Document Image Analysis, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore,1997
- [6] N. Nagy, "Twenty Years of Document Image Analysis in PAMI,"IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 22, no.1, pp. 38-62, Jan. 2000.
- [7] D. Trier, A. K. Jain, T. Taxt, "Feature Extraction Method for Character Recognition - A Survey", Pattern recognition, vol.29, no.4, pp.641-662, 1996
- [8] Ludmila IK, "A Theoretical Study on Six Classifier Fusion Strategies," IEEE Trans. On Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, v. 24, No. 2, pp. 281-286, Feb. 2002.
- [9] J Kittler, M Hatef, RPW Duin, and J Matas,"On Combining Classifiers," IEEE Trans. On Pat. Analysis and Machine Intel., Vol. 20, No. 3, Mar. 1998. Mar. 1998.
- [10] R.M.K. Sinha, H. Mahabala,, "Machine recognition of Devanagri script", IEEE Trans. System, Man Cybern. 9(1979) 435-441.
- [11] Plamondon, R. Srihari, S.N. ,Ecole Polytech.,Montreal, Que. , " Online and Offline HandwritingRecognition : A comprehensive Survey,IEEE Transactions On Pattern Analysis And Machine Intelligence. VOL. 22, NO. 1. JANUARY 2000
- [12] U. Pal , B.B. Chaudhuri , "Printed Devanagri script OCR system", Vivek 10 (1997) 12-24
- [13] S. Palit, B.B. Chaudhuri, "A feature-based scheme for the machine recognition of printed Devanagri script", P.P. Das, B.N. Chatterjee (Eda.) Pattern Recognition, Image Processing and Computer Vision, Narosa Publishing House: New Delhi, India 1995, pp. 163-168
- [14] I.K. Sethi, B. Chatterjee, "Machine recognition of constrained hand-printed Devanagri numerals", J. Inst.Electron. Telecom. Eng. 22 (1976) 532-535.
- [15] I.K. Sethi, B. Chatterjee,"Machine recognition of constrained hand-printed Devanagri characters", Pattern Recognition 9 (1977) 69-76
- [16] R.M..K. Sinha, "A syntactic pattern analysis system and its application to Devanagri script recognition", Ph.D. Thesis , Electrical Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology, India, 1973.
- [17] V. Bansal and R. M. K. Sinha, "Integrating Knowledge Sources in Devnagri Text Recognition," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, & Cybernetics Part A: Systems . the International Society for Optical Engineering. v 3964 2000. p305-312
- [18] S. Madhvanath and V. Govindaraju. , " The role of holistic paradigms in handwritten word recognition. ,"IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI), 23(2):149-164, 2001.
- [19] M. Blumenstein, B. K. Verma and H. Basli, , "A Novel Feature Extraction Technique for the Recognition of Segmented Handwritten Characters,"7th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR '03) Eddinburgh, Scotland: pp.137-141, 2003.
- [20] Alireza khotanzad and Yaw hua hong, "Invariant Image Recognition by Zernike Moments,"IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. Vol. 12. No. 5. Pp489-497 may 1990
- [21] Ovidiu Ivanciuc, "Applications of Support Vector Machines in Chemistry", In: Reviews in Computational Chemistry, Volume 23, 2007, pp. 291–400.
- [22] Tao Hong, Stephen W. Lam, Jonathan J. Hull and Sargur N. Srihari, "The Design of a Nearest-Neighbor Classifier and Its Use for Japanese Character Recognition", in the Proc.of the thied Int. Conf.on Document Analysis and Recognition,Aug.1995,270-273.

- [23] Rong Li, Hua-Ning Wang, Han He, Yan-Mei Cui and Zhan-Le Du, " Support Vector Machine combined with K-Nearest Neighbors for Solar Flare Forecasting," Chin. J. Astron. Astrophys. Vol. 7, No. 3, 441–447-2007
- [24] <http://www.mathworks.com/help/images/ref/regionprops.html>