

Survey on an Image Quality Assessment Metric based on Early Vision Features

B. Veeramallu, Ch. LavanyaSusanna, S. Sahitya

Abstract— Evaluating the image perceptual quality is a fundamental problem in image and video processing, and various methods have been proposed for image quality assessment (IQA). This letter presents IQA metrics such as Conventional IQA indices (mean squared error (MSE), signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR)), state-of-the-art IQA metrics (structural similarity based image quality assessment (SSIM), multi-scale-SSIM, non shift edge based ratio (NSER) and their limitations. In the non shift edge based ratio (NSER) method the procedures involved include computing the response of classical receptive fields, zero-crossing detection, and non-shift edge based ratio (NSER) calculation. This IQA metric is very simple but very effective and performs much better than most state-of-the-art IQA metric.

Keywords: Image quality assessment, structural similarity, non-shift edge, zero-crossing.

I. INTRODUCTION

During acquisition, processing, compression, storage, transmission and reproduction, digital images are subject to a wide variety of distortions any of which may result in a degradation of visual quality. For applications in which images are ultimately to be viewed by human beings, the only “correct” method of quantifying visual image quality is through subjective evaluation. In practice, however, subjective evaluation is usually too time-consuming, expensive and inconvenient. To develop quantitative measures that can automatically predict perceived image quality is the goal of research in objective image quality assessment.

Image quality assessment (IQA) has been becoming an important issue in numerous applications such as image acquisition, transmission, compression, restoration and enhancement, etc with the rapid proliferation of digital imaging and communication technologies. For many scenarios, e.g. real-time and automated systems the subjective IQA methods cannot be readily and routinely used, it is necessary to develop objective IQA metrics to automatically and robustly measure the image quality. It is anticipated that the evaluation results should be statistically consistent with those of the human observers. In the past decades the scientific community has developed various IQA methods. Objective IQA metrics can be classified as full reference (FR), no-reference (NR) and reduced-reference (RR) methods [1] according to the availability of a reference image.

Manuscript received on January, 2013.

B.Veeramallu, department of computer science and engineering, KLU university.

Ch.LavanyaSusanna, department of computer science and engineering, KLU university.

S.Sahitya, department of computer science and engineering, KLU university

Objective image quality metrics can be classified according to the availability of an original (distortion-free) image, with which the distorted image is to be compared. Most of the existing approaches are known as full-reference, meaning that a complete reference image is assumed to be known. In many practical applications, however, a no-reference or “blind” quality assessment approach is desirable and the reference image is not available. In a third type of method, the reference image is only partially available, in the form of a set of extracted features made available as side information to help evaluate the quality of the distorted image, this is referred to as reduced-reference quality assessment. This paper focuses on full-reference image quality assessment.

II. CONVENTIONAL IQA INDICES

The conventional metrics such as the mean squared error (MSE) and the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) operate directly on the intensity of the image. The mean squared error (MSE) is the simplest and most widely used full-reference quality metric, computed by averaging the squared intensity differences of distorted and reference image pixels, along with the related quantity of peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR). These are appealing because they are simple to calculate; have clear physical meanings and they are mathematically convenient in the context of optimization.

In the last three decades, the development of quality assessment methods that take advantage of known characteristics of the human visual system (HVS). The majority of the proposed perceptual quality assessment models have followed a strategy of modifying the MSE measure so that the errors are penalized in accordance with their visibility. An image signal quality can be evaluated as a sum of an undistorted reference signal and an error signal. The loss of perceptual quality is directly related to the visibility of the error signal is a widely adopted assumption. The simplest implementation of this concept is the MSE, which objectively quantifies the strength of the error signal. But two distorted images with the same MSE may have very different types of errors; some are much more visible than others. Most perceptual image quality assessment approaches proposed in the literature attempt to weight different aspects of the error signal according to their visibility, as determined by physiological measurements in animals or by psychophysical measurements in humans. This approach was pioneered by Manos and Sakrison [2], and has been extended by many other researchers over the years

Limitations

- 1) They do not correlate well with the subjective fidelity ratings.
- 2) they are not very well matched to perceived visual quality

- 3) these do not remove the dependencies in input signal
- 4) It is not clear that error visibility should be equated with loss of quality as some distortions may be clearly visible but not objectionable.
- 5) Near-threshold models cannot be generalized to characterized perceptual distortions larger than threshold To overcome these limitations Structural Similarity Based Image Quality Assessment (SSIM) is proposed.

III. STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY BASED IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Natural image signals are highly structured. Their pixels exhibit strong dependencies, and these dependencies carry important information about the structure of the objects in the visual scene, especially when they are spatially proximate. The Murkowski error metric is based on point wise signal differences, which are independent of the underlying signal structure. Although most quality measures based on error sensitivity decompose image signals using linear transformations. The motivation of this approach is to find a more direct way to compare the structures of the reference and the distorted signals. In [3] and [4], it is based on the assumption that the human visual system is highly adapted to extract structural information from the viewing field. It follows that a measure of structural information change can provide a good approximation to perceived image distortion. This new philosophy can be best understood through comparison with the error sensitivity philosophy.

The problems of natural image complexity and decor relation are also avoided to some extent because this metric does not attempt to predict image quality by accumulating the errors associated with psychophysically understood simple patterns. Instead, this metric proposes to evaluate the structural changes between two complex-structured signals directly

Limitations

- 1) SSIM index is a single-scale approach.
- 2) It achieves the best performance when applied at an appropriate scale, this is drawback of the method because the right scale depends on viewing conditions (e.g., display resolution and viewing distance), but a single scale approach lacks the flexibility to adapt to these conditions.

To overcome this drawback multi-scale SSIM is proposed that weight the relative importance between different scales. Differences of Error sensitivity approach and Structural Similarity based IQA

Error sensitivity approach	Structural Similarity based IQA
1.Estimates errors to quantify the image degradation	1.Considers image degradation as change in structural information
2.It is difficult to explain why contrast-stretched image has very high quality	2.It is easy to explain why contrast-stretched image has very high quality
3.It is bottom up approach	3.It is top down approach
4.It has the supra-threshold problem	4.It overcomes supra-threshold problem as it does not rely on threshold values

IV. MULTI-SCALE STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY

The perceivability of image details depends the sampling density of the image signal, the perceptual capability of the observer’s visual system and the distance from the image plane to the observer. In practice, the subjective evaluation of a given image varies when these factors vary. A single-scale method as described in the previous section may be appropriate only for specific settings. To incorporate image details at different resolutions Multi-scale method is a convenient way.

Limitation:

This approach is still rather crude and ad-hoc it does not work under much more broader application.

V. NON SHIFT EDGE BASED RATIO: A NEW IMAGE QUALITY METRIC

NSER overcomes the drawback of MS-SSIM .This metric works robustly across different IQA databases. It achieves better performance than performance to state-of-the-art IQA metrics, such as MS-SSIM. NESR use the earliest vision features, more specifically, zero-crossing edges only, to measure the difference between reference and distortion images. The zero crossings is defined as the information carried by the edges is represented by their spatial locations in the image. When an image is distorted from the original one, the positions of edge points will change accordingly. If there is more distortion, then there will be higher the change in the degree of the edge positions. Therefore, it is a straightforward idea to compare the edge maps of the reference and distorted images to measure their difference. In this metric the reference and distorted images are well registered, which is commonly assumed in IQA research.

It is difficult to pair the edge points in the reference and distorted images and comparing the locations of the same edge point in the reference and distorted images. Considering the fact that when the image is distorted the significant edges in an image won’t easily change their spatial locations, the edges are investigated that stay in their original locations after the image is deteriorated, and those edges are Non-Shift Edges (NES) their map is defined as below:

$$NSE(A, B) = F_A \cap F_B$$

Here, A and B denote the reference and distorted images, respectively, F_A and F_B are the edge maps of them. An edge map is a binary image, where “1” denotes an edge point and “0” denote a non-edge point. Obviously, the NSE map can be calculated by the “AND” operation of the two binary edge maps, denoted by $F_A \cap F_B$ [5]. The variation of the number of edge points in NSE can be used to measure the image quality.

Clearly, the more serious the distortion is, the fewer points the NSE map will have. By considering the different contents in different images, the number of edge points in NSE should be normalized by that in the reference image [6].The proposed algorithm is compared with state-of-the-art IQA metrics of different classes: IFC [7] and VIF [8] which are based on the information theory framework, SSIM [9], UQI [10] and MS-SSIM [11] and which are based on the structural distortion, NQM [12] and VSNR [13] which are based on the HVS model, as well as the L2 distance based PSNR. All of them work on the luminance component only.



Differences of MS-SSIM IQA metric and NSER IQA metric

MS-SSIM IQA metric	NSER IQA metric
1) MS-SSIM mimics functionally the IQA of HVS to build the metric. 2) MS-SSIM includes three distortion components: luminance, contrast and the structural-similarity, among which the structural-similarity is the core factor.	1) NSER uses only the early vision features (i.e., edges) in the IQA metric design. 2) NSER uses only the binary edge maps to measure the image quality in the form of NSE that can be considered as the "structural-similarity" in some sense

NSER still achieves comparable performance to MS-SSIM by using only the primitive zero-crossings. This shows that zero-crossings can be efficient for IQA and very effective. The NSE detection eliminates much information redundancy in the image and actually selects the most significant features in the reference and distorted images. The information lost in the process of binary edge detection is not so important for IQA. The pixels belonging to a structure are related to each other with a specific intensity distribution, and the information the structure carries is hidden behind this distribution. When an image is deteriorated, the structure and the distribution vary. This is why the information fidelity criteria [14] and the structural similarity indexes [15], work well for IQA. The image structure features used by the above IQA metrics are constructed from the basic primitive signals generated by ganglion and LNG neurons, and by Marr's theory [16], the information existed in the basic primitive signals can be represented by the zero-crossings and their spatial distribution. The structural variation caused by the image distortion will lead to the change of spatial distribution of zero-crossings. This change can be expressed and measured by using the NSE map and NSER metric.

VI. CONCLUSION

This letter presents image quality assessment (IQA) metrics namely Conventional IQA indices, state-of-the-art IQA metric, their limitations and a novel image quality assessment (IQA) metric, namely the Non-Shift Edge based Ratio (NSER), operates on the low-level early vision features, more specifically zero-crossing edges according to Marr's theory. The framework of this metric is straightforward and very simple and works robustly across different IQA databases. It achieves better performance than state-of-the-art IQA metrics, such as MS-SSIM. Early vision models may not be powerful enough to predict picture quality in highly compressed images as they fail to take into account higher level perceptual processes.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Wang, A.C. Bovik, H.R. Sheikh, and E.P. Simon celli, "Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity", IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600-612, Apr. 2004.
2. J. L. Mannos and D. J. Sakrison, "The effects of a visual fidelity criterion on the encoding of images," IEEE Trans. Information Theory, vol. 4, pp. 525-536, 1974
3. Z. Wang, Rate scalable foveated image and video communications. PhD thesis, Dept. of ECE, The University of Texas at Austin, Dec. 2001

4. Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, and L. Lu, "Why is image quality assessment so difficult," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. 4, (Orlando), pp. 3313-3316, May 2002.
5. Min Zhang, Xuanqin Mou, and Lei Zhang, "Non-Shift Edge Based Ratio (NSER): An Image Quality Assessment Metric Based on Early Vision Features", IEEE Trans. Image Process vol. 18, NO. 5, MAY 2011
6. N. Ponomarenko, M. Carli, V. Lukin, K. Egiazarian, J. Astola, and F. Battisti, "Color image database for evaluation of image quality metrics," in Proc. MMSP, Cairns, Australia, 2008, pp. 403-408.
7. H. R. Sheikh, A. C. Bovik, and G. de Veciana, "An information fidelity criterion for image quality assessment using natural scene statistics," IEEE Trans. Image Process, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2117-2128, Dec. 2005
8. H. R. Sheikh and A. C. Bovik, "Image information and visual quality," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 430-444, Feb. 2006.
9. Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simon celli, "Image quality assessment: From error measurement to structural similarity," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600-612, 2004.
10. Z. Wang and A. C. Bovik, "A universal image quality index," IEEE Signal Process. Lett. vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 81-84, Sep. 2002.
11. Z. Wang, E. P. Simon celli, and A. C. Bovik, "Multi-scale structural similarity for image quality assessment," in Proc. IEEE Conf. Signals, Systems, and Computers, 2003, pp. 1398-1402
12. N. Damera-Venkata, T. D. Kite, W. S. Geisler, B. L. Evans, and A. C. Bovik, "Image quality assessment based on a degradation model," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 636-650, Apr. 2000
13. D. M. Chandler and S. S. Hemami, "VSNR: A wavelet-based visual signal-to-noise ratio for natural images," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 2284-2298, Sep. 2007.
14. H. R. Sheikh, A. C. Bovik, and G. de Veciana, "An information fidelity criterion for image quality assessment using natural scene statistics," IEEE Trans. Image Process, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2117-2128, Dec. 2005.
15. Z. Wang and A. C. Bovik, "A universal image quality index," IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 81-84, Sep. 2002
16. D. Marr, Vision. New York: W. H. Freeman, 1980.